

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE - United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/687,858 10/13/2000 8827 Chak Cheung Edward Ho 0100.0000780 EXAMINER 29153 7590 06/14/2005 ATI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. CHANG, ERIC C/O VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 222 N. LASALLE STREET CHICAGO, IL 60601 2116

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/687,858	EDWARD HO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Eric Chang	2116	

Advisory Addion	09/007,000	LOWARD TO LI A	L .	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Eric Chang	2116	l	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		correspondence add	ress	
			, 000	
THE REPLY FILED 27 May 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. I. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILEI	D WITHIN TWO	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the is after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).				
AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Description of the process of the proces				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>22</u> would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>22</u> .		vill be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8-11,13-16 and 18-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>21</u> .				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. 				
TO. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration.		•		
because: See Continuation Sheet.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)		
		TYNNEH. BROWNI RVISORY PATENT EX CHNOLOGY CENTER	KAMINER	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the remarks, applicants argued in substance that there is no suggestion to combine the teachings of Applicant's admitted prior art and the teachings of Foss. However, Applicant's admitted prior art teaches using a DLL to compensate for delays associated with a received path of a STROBE signal by controlling an input clock [FIG. 1]. Furthemore, Foss teaches using a feedback delay matching array coupled to the output of a phase shifting circuit in order to produce a feedback control signal to compensate for variations in operating conditions [FIG. 5, and col. 4, lines 1-6]. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of the applicant's admitted prior art and the teachings of Foss, because they are both directed to compensating for delay variations using means comprising a DLL. Furthermore, combining the admitted prior art with the teachings of Foss would compensate both a clock input and a STROBE input for delay variations associated with a phase shifted output signal drive buffer located in a variable delay circuit that receives a STROBE signal, substantially as claimed.